

## *Contents*

	<i>Page</i>
<b>GRADING OF PROJECT ON A SCALE OF 1 TO 10.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>I) Introduction .....</b>	<b>2-6</b>
1.1 The Location	
1.2 Physical Features	
1.3 Demographic Structure	
1.4 Geology, Rock and Soil	
1.5 Administrative Structure	
1.6 The Climatic Condition	
1.7 The Forest	
Table 1.01: Range wise forest area of Raipur forest division	
1.8 Biotic Pressure in the Forest	
1.9 The Background	
<b>II) Objective and Methodology.....</b>	<b>7-12</b>
2.1 Objectives	
2.2. Methodology	
Table 2.01: Sites Evaluated	
Table 2.02: EPA Interventions visited by Evaluators	
2.2 Sample Intensity	
2.3 Enumeration Sampling	
2.4 Analysis	
<b>III) Project Profile and Proposed Activities .....</b>	<b>13-20</b>
3.1 Project Title	
3.2 The Location	
3.3 Project Cost Duration and Area	
Table 3.01: Project Area and its Cost Component (As per proposal)	
3.4 Project Proposal	
• Introduction	
• Objectives of the Raipur FDA	
• The Previous Projects	
3.5 Socio – Economic Profile	
3.6 Forest Cover	
3.7 Degree of Biotic Pressure on Forest:	
3.8 Project Objectives	
3.9 Salient Feature of Project and Strategy of Treatment	
3.10 Creation of JFM and Development Funds	
3.11 Micro Planning and JFM Entry Point Activity (EPA)	
3.12 Entry Point Activity (EPA)	
3.13 Approved Program of Works	
Table – 3.02: APPROVED PROGRAMME OF WORKS	
<b>IV) Quantitative Analysis .....</b>	<b>21-25</b>
4.01 Classification of Area Covered/Treated	
Table 4.01: Area Treated under FDA	
4.02 Physical and Financial Achievements	
4.03 Physical Area Treated under Different Forest Ranges	
4.04 Adequacy of Plantation Stock	
4.05 People’s Participation	

“First Evaluation Report of Projects under National Afforestation Programme (NAP) being implemented through Forest Development Agency (FDA) from the year 2002-03, by Raipur General (State Chattisgarh)”

4.06	JFM	
	Table 4.03: Caste and Gender wise Composition	
4.07	Training and Capacity Building	
4.08	Monitoring and Evaluation:	
<b>V)</b>	<b>FDA Mechanism &amp; Raipur FDA</b>	26-28
5.1	NAEB Guidelines	
5.2	Composition of Raipur FDA	
5.3	SWOT Analysis	
5.4	FDA Bank Account	
<b>VI)</b>	<b>Qualitative Analysis</b>	29-32
6.1	Suitability of Area	
6.2	Quality of Work	
6.3	Survival Rate	
	Table-6.01: Survival Rate of Plantation Made in Midnapore FDA.	
6.4	Growth Parameter Measurement	
	Table-6.02: Year – wise height attained by different species	
	Table-6.03: Year – wise height attained by different species	
	Table-6.04: Year – wise height attained by different species	
	Table-6.05: Maximum and Minimum Girth of the Plants at Collar height	
6.5	Regeneration/ Rehabilitation Status	
6.6	Improved Technology	
6.7	Bio-mass availability	
<b>VII)</b>	<b>JFM Approach and Status</b>	33-34
7.1	Background	
7.2	Participation Level	
7.3	Sustainability of Species	
7.4	Awareness Quotient	
7.5	Motivational Tools	
7.6	Means of Communication	
7.7	Community Involvement	
7.8	Sharing Mechanism	
<b>VIII)</b>	<b>Maintenance and Protection</b>	35
8.1	Maintenance of Plants and protection of assets created	
8.2	Maintenance of Record	
8.3	Micro-plan	

## PHOTOGRAPHS

## ANNEXES

36 onwards

*Annex- I: List of VFC/FDA and Villages Treated Under FDA*

*Annex – II: Expenditure Made on Entry Point Activity*

*Annex – III: The physical area treated in different forest ranges of Raipur FDA*

*Annex – IV: Plot wise survival rate*

*ANNEX – V: LIST OF VFCs*

*ANNEX – VI: LIST OF FPCs*

***GRADING OF PROJECT ON A SCALE OF 1 TO 10***

**QUANITATIVE  
ASPECT**

PHYSICAL	7.6
FINANCIAL	9.8

**QUALITY  
ASPECT**

SURVIVAL	8.2
HEALTH OF PLANTATIONS	7.5
MAINTENANCE	7.0
SUSTAINABILITY	8.0

**JFM**

DEGREE OF PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION	9.0
SATISFACTION OVER PERCEIVED BENEEITS BY THE LOCAL POPULATION	9.0

**FDA MECHANISM**

COMPOSITION OF GERERAL AND EXECUTIVE BODIES OF THE FDA	10.0
ROLE OF FDA INADMINISTRATIVE, SUPERVISORY AND MONITORING MECHANISM OF THE PROJECT	9.0
FLOW OF FUNDS FROM FDA TO VCSs	8.0
PLANNING, IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE OF ASSETS DIRECTLY BY VFCs / EDCs	10.0
MEASURES TAKEN FOR THE CAPACITY BYILDING OF VFCs / EDCs	9.0

Overall Grading of the project	Outstanding (8 – 10)	Very Good (5 –< 8)	Good (3-<5)	Poor (<3)
	Outstanding			